



# Unintended Pregnancy: The Colorado Experience in National Context

Brief No. 4

June, 2010

*“The burden of unintended pregnancy in the U.S. falls more heavily on young, low-income, unmarried, and ethnic minority women.”<sup>2</sup>*


## Unintended pregnancy in the United States

The ability to plan if and when to have children is fundamental to women’s health. Between age at first intercourse and age of menopause, women in the US spend an average of three decades trying to avoid pregnancy. Despite this, by age 45 more than half of all American women have experienced an unintended pregnancy, including a pregnancy that was either unwanted or mistimed.<sup>1</sup>

In fact, one-half (49%) of all pregnancies to women ages 15 to 44 each year are unintended, constituting 3.1 million pregnancies annually.<sup>2</sup>

Although unintended pregnancy is a common experience for women of all ages and backgrounds, a larger proportion of pregnancies to young, poor, unmarried, and ethnic minority women are unintended (see chart).

Proportion of Pregnancies that are Unintended Among U.S. Women Ages 15 to 44, Overall and by Select Demographic Characteristics, 2001



Source: National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy. 2008. *The DCR Report: Unplanned Pregnancy in the United States Among All Women*. Washington, D.C.

### Inside this issue:

- Unintended pregnancy in Colorado 2
- Why do unintended pregnancies occur? 2
- National efforts to reduce unintended pregnancy 3
- Efforts of the Initiative in Colorado 4
- References 4

## The implications of unintended pregnancy

The negative health, social, and economic consequences of unintended pregnancy on both mother and child have been well documented, and include lessened education and employment opportunities for the family and poor health outcomes for both mothers and children.<sup>3</sup> Women with unintended pregnancies that are continued to term are more likely to receive inadequate or delayed prenatal care, and as a result their infants have poorer health outcomes including low birth weight.<sup>4</sup> These negative outcomes are often more pronounced for women and families in poverty, as well as teen mothers.<sup>5</sup> Even among women with supportive families and financial resources, unintended pregnancy can have life-altering consequences.

The burden of unintended pregnancy also falls on government programs. The public cost of health care and social services that follow from unintended pregnancy has been shown to be substantial. Recent estimates suggest that for every dollar spent on family planning services in the United States, \$4 are saved in public-sector costs associated with maternity and infant care for these unintended pregnancies.<sup>6</sup>

## Unintended pregnancy in Colorado

The *Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS)*, maintained by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and state health departments, collects data on maternal attitudes and experiences before, during and after a woman gives birth. Data include an indicator for whether a mother wanted to become pregnant at time of pregnancy, as well as information on select maternal characteristics. These are not total estimates of unintended pregnancy in the state, as the data are only of unintended pregnancies that come to term (live births). The percent of births among women in Colorado resulting from an unintended pregnancy remained at about 40% between 2004 and 2006, but then began to drop in 2007 and 2008 to about 37%. Of those having a live birth in Colorado, unintended pregnancy is highest for those under age 24, with a high school education or less, non-married, income of \$24,000 or less, and for recipients of Medicaid. Black women had the highest percentage of unintended pregnancy until 2008; in 2008 Hispanic women had the highest percentage of unintended pregnancy.

### Why do unintended pregnancies occur?

The majority (52%) of unintended pregnancies in the United States occur among the minority (11%) of sexually active women not using any contraceptive method.<sup>2</sup> The reasons for lack of or inconsistent contraceptive use are complex, and often motivated by a combination of individual, partner, cultural, and structural factors.

Difficulty obtaining contraceptive methods may be one factor. Current estimates suggest that only one-half of sexually active women in need of publicly funded family planning services have their needs met under current sources of care (including Medicaid and Title X programs).<sup>7</sup> Further, even with access to other sources of care, including private health insurance, cost or privacy concerns often limit women's access to needed services.

Attitudes towards childbearing, fertility and contraception also play an important role. A significant proportion of women express ambivalence towards the timing of childbearing, and this ambivalence can have direct effects on contraceptive use.<sup>8</sup> Myths and misperceptions about the short and long term side effects of contraception, concerns about fertility, and doubts about the effectiveness of most contraceptive methods at preventing pregnancy are widespread and directly influence contraceptive behaviors.<sup>9</sup> These concerns appear to be more prevalent in minority

Unintended Pregnancy Among Women in Colorado Having a Live Birth, by Selected Maternal Characteristics, 2004-2008

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Overall	39.3%	40.1%	39.8%	37.3%	36.9%
Age	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
<20	77.8	70.3	65.8	58.7	66.3
20-24	50.2	52.0	62.4	58.0	54.9
25-34	32.1	33.1	31.3	30.6	28.8
35+	25.9	24.2	23.1	22.1	22.1
Race	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
White, non-Hispanic	34.2	36.4	34.8	33.8	31.8
Black	54.7	49.0	55.5	43.6	43.8
Hispanic	46.3	46.4	48.3	41.5	47.0
Other	48.6	38.9	34.6	35.6	37.5
Education	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
< High School (HS)	56.4	54.0	55.1	44.0	50.0
HS graduate	45.4	48.6	53.3	53.8	49.9
Some college	28.4	28.4	27.5	28.2	27.2
Marital status	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Married	30.5	30.4	31.0	30.8	28.6
Other	64.1	66.7	66.5	61.5	63.8
Income	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
<\$10,000	54.1	60.3	52.9	48.7	N/A
\$10,000- 24,999	54.4	53.9	57.5	49.8	N/A
\$25,000- 49,999	42.4	39.6	43.9	44.3	N/A
>\$50,000	21.4	19.3	19.6	21.9	N/A
Medicaid Recipient	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
No	29.4	28.5	29.9	29.6	27.8
Yes	57.3	60.6	58.2	51.7	58.2

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS): CPONDER. <http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/cPONDER/> Colorado Health Information Dataset. Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) Statistics. <http://www.cdph.state.co.us/cohid/prams.html>

communities, in particular as they relate to concerns about the safety and side effects of hormonal methods.<sup>10</sup>

Persistent disparities in unintended pregnancy according to an individual's racial/ethnic and socioeconomic status can partially be explained by differences in contraceptive use, as larger proportions of Hispanic (12%) and African American (15%) women at risk for unintended pregnancy report not using any contraception, as compared to 9% of white women.<sup>11</sup> However, given use of similar contraceptive methods, low-income women experience higher rates of contraceptive failure, suggesting that more needs to be done to address the historical and cultural context of family planning across diverse communities.<sup>12</sup>

## National efforts to reduce unintended pregnancy

The high prevalence of unintended pregnancy in the nation across diverse age and demographic groups has spawned a number of new efforts to better understand the factors leading to unintended pregnancy, as well as programmatic and policy-level solutions for reducing its frequency.

The National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy has become the **National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy**, broadening their mission to include those beyond the teenage years. The organization has recently produced several publications and strategies aimed at reducing unintended pregnancy specifically among young adults:

In 2009, the Campaign published ***The Fog Zone: How Misperceptions, Magical Thinking, and Ambivalence Put Young Adults at Risk for Unplanned Pregnancy***.<sup>9</sup> Using data from a nationally representative sample of 1,800 unmarried men and women aged 18 – 29, the report explores reasons for unintended pregnancy and inconsistent contraceptive use among young adults, filling gaps in research. The report found that among unmarried women in their 20's, a startling 70% of pregnancies are unplanned. In spite of professed beliefs that pregnancies should be planned and feelings among most of those surveyed that avoiding pregnancy right now is important, 19% use no contraception at all and 24% use it inconsistently. The data showed pervasive ignorance about contraception among the nation's young people, fear of contraceptive side effects, misinformation about the effectiveness of birth control, and deep ambivalence about being parents. This information can be used to fashion campaigns and programs to change these contributing factors.

National Campaign CEO Sarah Brown also recently released ***Aunt Sarah's List***,<sup>13</sup> highlighting the importance of pregnancy planning:

*"Getting pregnant or causing pregnancy, having babies, and starting families are perhaps the most important things we ever do, with generational effects. These major steps need to be thought about carefully, not stumbled into. We think and talk about so many less important things all the time: what's for dinner, March Madness brackets, what movie to see this weekend... Surely the event of when to become a parent, with whom, and under what circumstances deserve at least the same amount of time and attention. 'If it happens, it happens' is no way to start a family. And 'I just never really thought about it' isn't either."*

Yet another development in working to reduce unintended pregnancy has been the creation of a new curriculum called ***Planning for Children: Helping Couples Get on the Same Page about If or When to Have More Children***.<sup>14</sup> Authored by Pamela M. Wilson, this three-session module includes activities designed to be engaging and fun so that couples can sit together and develop a common vision for the timing and spacing of their children. The module also includes information about birth control and strategies for using it effectively.

In an effort to further improve pregnancy outcomes, renewed attention on implementing **preconception and interconception care guidelines** to women of all ages has been promoted by the CDC and other health organizations. Defined as *"interventions that aim to identify and modify biomedical, behavioral, and social risks to a women's health or pregnancy outcomes through prevention and management, emphasizing those factors which must be acted on before conception or early in pregnancy to have maximal impact,"*<sup>15</sup> preconception care promotes a lifelong focus on reproductive health and planning, and

has the potential to significantly reduce unintended pregnancy and improve pregnancy outcomes.

A recent review identified a handful of structural policy and programmatic interventions that have been found to increase contraceptive use among adult women. **Quick start protocols** enabling women to begin their hormonal contraceptive method on the day of their family planning visit (rather than at the onset of their next menstrual cycle), **advance provision of emergency contraception**, and **delivery of family planning services in non-traditional settings** (e.g., corrections facilities) were all associated with an increase in women's short-term adherence to a contraceptive method.<sup>16</sup>

On a policy level, recent **health care reform** legislation includes several provisions that could enable women and families in their pregnancy planning efforts. First, private health insurers are now required to cover young adults up to age 26 on their parents' health insurance plan. Given that young adults are more likely to be uninsured than any other age demographic, this expansion in coverage is significant. Secondly, health reform provisions will enable states to expand their Medicaid coverage for family planning services through a less cumbersome state plan amendment (SPA) process, as opposed to filing for a federal waiver which must be renewed on a regular basis.

Finally, numerous professional reproductive health organizations have expanded their guidelines for use of **long-acting, reversible methods of contraception**, recommending these highly effective methods for nulliparous women, including adolescents and young adults.<sup>17</sup> Increased promotion and adoption of these methods, including intrauterine contraception (IUCs) and implants, has the potential to significantly reduce the incidence of unintended pregnancy.



16 Main Street  
 Accord, NY 12404  
 Phone: 845-626-2126  
 Fax: 845-626-3206  
 www.philliberresearch.com



3333 California Street  
 Suite 265  
 San Francisco, CA 94143-0936  
 Phone: 415-476-2317  
 Fax: 415-476-0705  
 www.bixbycenter.ucsf.edu

For additional information,  
 please see the following brief:  
**Evaluating the Colorado  
 Initiative to Reduce  
 Unintended Pregnancies;**  
 February 2010.

**Colorado Title X Agencies**

- Broomfield City-County Public Health and Environment
- Children's Hospital-Adolescent Clinic
- Colorado Coalition for the Homeless-Stout Street Clinic
- Delta County Health Department
- Denver Health and Hospital Authority Community Health Services
- Denver Health and Hospital Authority STD Clinic
- Eagle County Public Health Agency
- El Paso County Department of Health and Environment
- Elbert County Health and Environment
- Gunnison County Department of Health and Human Services
- Jefferson County Public Health
- Kit Carson County Health and Human Services
- Lake County Public Health Department
- Larimer County Health Department
- Mesa County Health Department
- Montrose County Department of Health and Human Services
- Northeast Colorado Health Department
- Northwest Colorado Visiting Nurse Association
- Pitkin County Public Health Agency
- Pueblo City-County Health Department
- Rio Blanco County Department of Public Health and Environment
- San Juan Basin Health Department
- San Miguel County Department of Health and Environment
- Spanish Peaks Regional Health Center
- Summit Community Care Clinic
- Teller County Public Health Department
- Tri-County Health Department
- Weld County Dept. of Public Health and Environment
- Women's Health (Boulder Valley)

**Efforts of the Initiative to Reduce Unintended Pregnancy in Colorado**

In Colorado, 14 parent organizations (below) and 29 service providing Title X agencies (left sidebar) are involved in the Colorado Initiative to Reduce Unintended Pregnancies to help formulate solutions to a persistently high rate of unintended pregnancy in the state. The organizations funded by this Initiative are using multi-faceted approaches to ultimately reduce unintended pregnancies and include family planning providers, state health administrators, advocacy programs, and community-based organizations. Each has specific goals to encourage and increase use of long-acting reversible methods of contraception (LARC), increase the number of women accessing family planning services, increase public funding for family planning, and/or increase support for family planning services among the general public and elected officials.

Colorado Association for School-Based Health Care	Colorado Youth Matter	Denver Teen Pregnancy Prevention Partnership	NARAL Pro-Choice Colorado	Valley-Wide Health Systems
Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment	Denver Health	Focus Points Family Resource Center	Southwest Open School	Women's Health (Boulder Valley)
Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and Reproductive Rights (COLOR)	Denver School-Based Health Centers	Healthy Colorado Youth Alliance	University of Colorado Denver	

For a list of individual service providers, please see **Colorado Title X Agencies** in the left sidebar.

**References:**

- <sup>1</sup> The Guttmacher Institute. 2010. National Reproductive Health Profile. <http://www.guttmacher.org/datacenter/profiles/US.jsp>
- <sup>2</sup> Finer LB, Henshaw SK. Disparities in the rates of unintended pregnancy in the United States, 1994 and 2001. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 2006; 38 (2): 90-96.
- <sup>3</sup> Gipson J, Koenig M, & Hindin M. The effects of unintended pregnancy on infant, child, and parental health: a review of the literature. *Studies in Family Planning* 2008; 39: 18-38.
- <sup>4</sup> Cheng D, Schwarz EB, Douglas E, Horon I. Unintended pregnancy and associated maternal preconception, prenatal, and postpartum behaviors. *Contraception* 2009; 79: 194-198.
- <sup>5</sup> Brown S and Eisenberg L. The Best Intentions: Unintended Pregnancy and the Well-Being of Children and Families. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1995.
- <sup>6</sup> Frost JJ, Finer LB, Tapales A. The impact of publicly funded family planning clinic services on unintended pregnancies and government cost savings. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 2008; 19: 778-796.
- <sup>7</sup> Gold R. Next steps for America's family planning program: leveraging the potential of Medicaid and Title X in an evolving health care system. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute, 2009.
- <sup>8</sup> Schwarz E, Lohr P, Gold M, & Gerbert B. Prevalence and correlates of ambivalence towards pregnancy among non-pregnant women. *Contraception* 2007; 75: 305-310.
- <sup>9</sup> Kaye K, Suellentrop K, & Sloup C. The fog zone: How misperceptions, magical thinking, and ambivalence put young adults at risk for unplanned pregnancy. Washington, DC: The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy; 2009.
- <sup>10</sup> Guendelman S, Denny C, Mauldon J, Chetkovich C. Perceptions of hormonal contraceptive safety and side effects among low-income Latina and non-Latina women. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2000; 4: 233-9; Gilliam M, Warden M, Goldstein C, Tapia B. Concerns about contraceptive side effects among young Latinas: a focus group approach. *Contraception* 2004; 70: 299-305.
- <sup>11</sup> Mosher W, Martinez G, Chandra A, Abma J, & Wilson S. Use of contraception and use of family planning services in the United States: 1982-2002. *Advance Data* 2002; 350: 1-36.
- <sup>12</sup> Ranjit N, et al. Contraceptive failure in the first two years of use: differences across socioeconomic subgroups. *Family Planning Perspectives* 2001; 33(1): 19-27; Dehlendorf C, Rodriguez M, Levy K, Borrero S, & Steinauer J. Disparities in family planning. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2010; 214-220.
- <sup>13</sup> Brown, Sarah. Aunt Sarah's List: Things We ALL Need to Say to Teens and Young Adults. Washington, DC: The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy; 2010. [http://www.thenationalcampaign.org/resources/pdf/Aunt\\_Sarah.pdf](http://www.thenationalcampaign.org/resources/pdf/Aunt_Sarah.pdf)
- <sup>14</sup> Developed in collaboration with the Center for Urban Families, The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy, the Center on Children and Families at the Brookings Institution and the Annie E. Casey Foundation. [www.TheNationalCampaign.org/PlanningforChildren](http://www.TheNationalCampaign.org/PlanningforChildren)
- <sup>15</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preconception Care. <http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/preconception/default.htm>
- <sup>16</sup> Kirby D. The impact of programs to increase contraceptive use among adult women: A review of experimental and quasi-experimental studies. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 2008; 40(1): 34-41.
- <sup>17</sup> Allen RH, Goldberg AB, Grimes DA. Expanding access to intrauterine contraception. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2009; 201: 456e1-5.